

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

A DDI TO A TIONI NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNET DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,636	10/29/2003	Ahmad Akashe	77060 5534	
48940	7590 03/07/200 TABIN & FLANNER	EXAMINER		
120 S. LASAL		WEIER, ANTHONY J		
SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1761	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/696,636	AKASHE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Anthony Weier	1761	

<u>, </u>	Anthony Weier	1761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 09 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31: or (3)		
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.				
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH 06.07(f).	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing days.	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since		
	huit maioreto the doto of files of their				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NC	, will <u>not</u> be entered bi TE below);	ecause		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		educina or simplifyina	the issues for		
appeal; and/or			inc issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		ampliant Amondment	(DTOL 224)		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:	Amphant Amendment	(FTOL-324).		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-	will not be entered, or b) will not be entered, or b) wided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	<u>.</u>				
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>34-53</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a		
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	y and was not earlier presented. S n of the status of the claims after e	ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 entry is below or attach	l). ied.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	MX	12		
		Anthony Weller Primary Examiner			
			1 100		

Art Unit: 1761

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the references as applied teach the invention as claimed. It should be noted that Applicant argues that Goodnight, Jr. et al employs a pH of 6.5 to 7.5 before filtering. However, this recitation in col. 3, is only a preferred pH range. Col. 2 of Goodnight, Jr. et al discloses that a pH as high as 10 may be employed in providing the extract which is then filtered.